

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2016) KLR VOL 1 PART 379 pp. 767 - 1014

JANUARY 2016

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

JANUARY CONTD.

34. Bouwor v. State p. 775
35. Brittanian-U Nigeria Limited v. Seplat Petroleum Development Company Limited p. 789
36. Ezechukwu v. Onwuka p. 855
37. Maku v. Al-Makura p. 883
38. Oguebego v. Peoples Democratic Party p. 921
39. Okere v. State p. 963
40. Okwu v. Umeh p. 989

ACTIONS - Commencement - Originating summons - As there is no dispute on the relevant facts in the matter - Originating summons was properly used to institute the suit at the FHC (H8) Oguebego v. PDP p. 921

ACTIONS - Locus standi - Where plaintiff lacks the locus to maintain his action - No issue in the case can be gone into - As the court is denied of jurisdiction to determine the action (H3) Okwu v. Umeh p. 989

ACTIONS - Multiplicity of suits - Different parties - Where parties in multiplied suits are not the same - There cannot be said to be an abuse of court process (H5) Oguebego v. PDP p. 921

ACTIONS - Party - Necessary party - As the suit centers on the authentic National Leaders of APGA - It is a must that the political party be made a necessary party - For effectual determination of the suit (H1) Okwu v. Umeh p. 989

ACTIONS - Party - Non joinder of - Although no cause shall be defeated by reason of non joinder - Yet absence of necessary party - Appears to be exercise in futility for court to make a decision (H2) Okwu v. Umeh p. 989

ACTIONS - Representative action - Existence of common interest is basis for such action - Hence the suit having been discontinued - 2nd appellant alone cannot maintain the action in a representative capacity (H4) Okwu v. Umeh p. 989

AFFIDAVITS - Conflicts - Resolution of - Where conflicts exist in deposition of parties - Court must resolve same by calling for oral evidence - Either from the deponent or other witnesses (H1) Ezechukwu v. Onwuka p. 855

APPEALS - Affidavit - Evaluation - Where conflict still exists in affidavit after a resolution by call for oral evidence - CA as well as SC is qualified to further appraise the affidavit (H2) Ezechukwu v. Onwuka p. 855

APPEALS - Fresh issue - Additional authority - It is wrong for appellant in the guise of submitting additional authorities - To have advanced further argument - After appeal has been adjourned for judgment (H2) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

APPEALS - Grounds - Challenge - It is duty of respondent who challenges classification of ground - To satisfy court that the ground belongs to a classification - Different from one assigned by appellant (H3) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

APPEALS - Interlocutory - Record - Transmission of - CA Practice Direction O. 8 r. 2 - Appellant and respondent jointly settle the record - And fix estimated cost for compilation and transmission of same (H5) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

APPEALS - Issue - Determination of - Court's omission to consider and resolve any of the issues agitating the appeal - In absence of any valid reason - Will be fatal to the court's judgment (H3) *Okere v. State* p. 963

APPEALS - Issue - Preference of - CA did not err when it preferred issues distilled by respondent to those of appellant - As this was done for sake of justice - By bringing out clearly the issue in dispute (H4) *Okere v. State* p. 963

APPEALS - Issue - Reframing of - Appellate court may reframe issue - Where it finds that the interest of justice is not served - But such issue must be derived from grounds of appeal filed by parties (H1) *Okere v. State* p. 963

APPEALS - Issue - Reframing of - Fair hearing - In reframing issues appellate court must secure fundamental basis of appellate system - And guarantee rights of parties to fair hearing under the Constitution (H2) *Okere v. State* p. 963

APPEALS - Issue - Validity - Issue of controversy as to which organ of 1st respondent - Has power to conduct primaries as held by CA can-

770 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 1 KLR

not stand - As that was not the main issue (H7) *Oguebego v. PDP* p. 921

APPEALS - Record - Binding nature of - Parties and court are bound by the record of appeal - Which is presumed correct - Unless the contrary is proved (H7) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

APPEALS - Record - Challenge - Procedure - The appropriate manner to impeach the contents of record of appeal - Is by affidavit evidence (H6) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

APPEALS - Record - Transmission of - CA Rule O. 8 r. 1 - Registrar of court below has duty to compile and transmit its record to CA - Within 60 days after the filing of notice of appeal (H4) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

APPEALS - Reply brief - Appellant who fails to respond to new points in respondent's brief - Is deemed to concede the points - And the points must be new - Not being an answer to issue raised by appellant (H1) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

APPEALS - Supreme Court - Jurisdiction - The Court has no jurisdiction to entertain appeals directly from Federal High Court (H3) *Oguebego v. PDP* p. 921

CHARGES - Recording of - Omission to expressly record that charge was read over and explained to accused before his plea was taken - Does not render trial a nullity (H5) *Okere v. State* p. 963

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Concept - It is an affront to authority of court - Which can either be *ex facie curiae* or *in facie curiae* - And it is nobody's duty to determine for court - When party is in contempt (H10) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

COURTS - Case law - Application of - Court is not bound to apply any authority it has considered in its judgment - As it may not apply an authority - If issue decided therein is inapplicable to facts before it

(H8) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

COURTS - Case law - Failure to apply - Non application of the two case laws - Means that CA did not accept appellant's case based on abuse of process - Hence issue of incorrect application did not arise (H9) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

COURTS - Document - Examination of - It is lawful for courts to examine documents - If the resolution of controversy between parties so requires (H3) *Ezechukwu v. Onwuka* p. 855

COURTS - Issue - Suo motu raising - Where court raises point suo motu - Parties must be heard on the point - Particularly the party that may suffer punishment as a result of the point (H6) *Oguebego v. PDP* p. 921

COURTS - Reliefs - Grant of - Basis - Entitlement to reliefs from court is if on the basis of the facts adduced - The court thinks that grant of the reliefs is just (H4) *Ezechukwu v. Onwuka* p. 855

CRIMINAL LAW - Conspiracy - Ingredient of - Lies in mere agreement to do an unlawful thing which is contrary to the law - Whether or not accused had knowledge of its unlawfulness (H1) *Bouwor v. State* p. 775

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Confession - Accused can be convicted on his confession - In which he admitted the commission of the crime as charged (H3) *Bouwor v. State* p. 775

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Conspiracy - Validity - Conspiracy being a separate offence from actual crime - Conviction on same is valid although proof of the actual crime is not established (H4) *Bouwor v. State* p. 775

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Trial within trial - Conduct of - Where issue is not whether confession was voluntarily made - But whether they were made at all - Conduct of the mini trial is unnecessary (H6) *Okere v. State* p. 963

DOCUMENTS - Admissibility & value - Difference - Admissibility is based on relevance - While probative value depends not only on relevance - But also on proof (H4) Maku v. Al-Makura p. 883

DOCUMENTS - Examination of - All facts that entitle party to court's indulgence - Must be shown in open court - To ensure that in arriving at its decision - The court is detached and neutral (H2) Maku v. Al-Makura p. 883

DOCUMENTS - Unsigned document - Weight - Where document ought to be signed and it is not - Its authenticity is in doubt - As an unsigned document carries no weight (H3) Maku v. Al-Makura p. 883

ELECTION PETITIONS - Irregularities - Proof - For appellant to establish his case - He must not only tender evidence - But also link the evidence with various documents - To specific aspects of his case (H1) Maku v. Al-Makura p. 883

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Allegation - By choosing to stay away from court after being notified of the hearing - Appellants are not covered by 1999 Constitution s. 36(1) bordering on fair hearing (H5) Ezechukwu v. Onwuka p. 855

JUDGMENTS - Action - Party - No person is to be adversely affected by judgment in an action - Of which he was not a party to (H4) Oguebego v. PDP p. 921

JUDGMENTS - Binding nature - Any person against whom a decision of court is given - Is duly bound to obey it - Irrespective of whether he is of an opinion that the order is void (H1) Oguebego v. PDP p. 921

JURISDICTION - Absence of - Having withdrawn their complaints against defendants - Plaintiffs cease to be parties in the suit - Thus the continued retention of their names - Rob trial court of jurisdiction (H5) Okwu v. Umeh p. 989

JURISDICTION - Challenge - Orders of court - The order made on 27/1/2014 to extend life span of spent order - In the face of challenge to jurisdiction not yet decided - Is a nullity (H11) *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

JURISDICTION - Federal High Court - The court has jurisdiction to protect the sanctity of its order and processes - Which 1st respondent chose to act in gross disobedience to (H2) *Oguebego v. PDP* p. 921

MURDER - Conspiracy - Proof - The various roles played by co accused which support appellant's confession in exhibit D - Have established the offence of conspiracy to murder (H2) *Bouwor v. State* p. 775

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended), ss. 251(1)(r), 287 *Oguebego v. PDP* p. 921, s. 318 *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789, s. 36(1) *Ezechukwu v. Onwuka* p. 855

Court of Appeal Practice Direction, O. 8 r. 2 *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

Court of Appeal Rules, O. 8 r. 1 *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789

Criminal Code Cap 21 vol. 1 Laws of Delta State 2006, ss. 64, 319(1), 324 *Bouwor v. State* p. 775

Criminal Code Law Cap 17 Laws of Lagos State 2003, ss. 319(1), 324 *Okere v. State* p. 963

Electoral Act 2010 (as amended), s. 87(9) *Oguebego v. PDP* p. 921, s. 87(9) *Okwu v. Umeh* p. 989

Evidence Act 2011, s. 173 *Oguebego v. Peoples Democratic Party* p. 921, s. 83(4) *Maku v. Al-Makura* p. 883, s. 74 *Ezechukwu v. Onwuka* p. 855

Federal High Court (Civil procedure) Rules 2009, O. 26 rr. 11, 12 *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789, O. 9 r. 14(1) & (3) *Okwu v. Umeh* p. 989

High Court (Civil procedure) Rules of Benue State 1990, O. 22 r. 3 (1) (2), O. 11 r. 1 *Ezechukwu v. Onwuka* p. 855

Supreme Court Act 2004, s. 22 *Brittania-U Nig. Ltd. v. Seplat Pet. Dev. Co. Ltd.* p. 789